1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6	* * *	
7	RAYMOND PADILLA,	Case No. 2:20-cv-0066-KJD-VCF
8	Plaintiff,	ORDER
9	V.	
0	STATE OF NEVADA, et al.,	
1	Defendants.	
2	Presently before the Court is Plaintiff's Objections (#17) to the magistrate judge's Order	
3	(#13). The Court has conducted a de novo review of the record in this case in accordance with 28	
4	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and LR IB 3-2. The magistrate judge's order appropriately preserved	
5	Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment claims against individual defendants	
6	while dismissing the Fifth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendment (Equal Protection) claims. While	
7	Plaintiff's objections do not change the analysis, it appears that the proposed amended complaint	
8	(#18-1) clarifies and corrects some of the deficiencies in his original amended complaint	
9	especially relating to Plaintiff's <u>Monell</u> claim and Wrongful Conviction claims. However, that	
20	proposed amendment was denied without prejudice by the magistrate judge due to Plaintiff's	
21	pending objections.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court determines that the Order (#13)	
23	of the United States Magistrate Judge entered November 10, 2020, is <b>AFFIRMED</b> .	
24	///	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	
- 11	ii	

## Case 2:20-cv-00066-KJD-VCF Document 29 Filed 08/31/21 Page 2 of 2

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff has twenty-one (21) days to renew his motion to file an amended complaint. Upon notice of his intention to renew the motion to amend originally filed on December 10, 2020, the magistrate judge will screen the amended complaint and issue an order.

DATED this 31st day of August 2021.

The Honorable Kent J. Dawson United States District Judge

 14

 15

 16

 17

 18

 19

 20

 21

 22